

March 2, 2010 (#525)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt March 2, 2010:

Channel 4.com's 'Slumming It.'

Shows Type of 'Community' We're Supposed to Fit:

"Prince Charles and Others Hold Up Mumbai  
As Model Community We're All to Live By,  
We've to Become Austere, Nations of Bums,  
In Sustainable Communities also Known as Slums,  
With Disease and Hunger, Our Numbers Grow Fewer,  
Our Drinking Water Pipe Lies in Open Sewer,  
The Documentary 'Slumming It' Will Drive You Frantic,  
The 'Community' Scours Garbage Dumps, Recycling Plastic,  
This India Community, Sustainable Model State,  
U.N. Wants World Peasants to Imitate,  
Shanty Towns to Be Our New Habitats?  
Let's See Charles and U.N. Living with Rats,  
So Come on Charlie, No Wavering Preamble,  
You're a Prince, By George, So Lead by Example"

© Alan Watt March 2, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 2, 2010 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

---

## Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders  
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 2<sup>nd</sup> 2010. For newcomers, I suggest you look into [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) web site and bookmark all the other sites I have listed there because once in a while the big ones go down and for some reason as I'm uploading I can't upload any more until they increase the bandwidth, even though it's supposed to be automatic. So if you have these other sites listed you can always download the latest shows without a problem. [Official sites listed above.] I always mention that this is the tin can moment where I rattle the tin can. It never rattles much because there's not much in it. So it's up to you to keep me going. The ads on this show pay for the air time. I have nothing to do with the advertisers at all. They pay RBN directly for the air time; it pays for their staff, equipment and transmission of the program. It pays their bills as well. So it's up to you to keep ME going and support me by buying the things I have for sale at [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) web site or donating to me. Go into the sites and you'll find out how to do it. [Ordering and donation option listed above.] For those who get the disks burned and passed to them, who don't like using computers and they play them on their CD players, you can get in touch with me at [address above]. And that's that part done.

I'm sure the audience out there is pretty well educated in what's going on in the world today, outside the general, little releases we get from the media and all the diversions too, supplied by the same media. You know we're going through a big transformation in society and the world in general and a whole new way of living, a world where we'll be living in communes. They talk about communes and Super Cities and so on, they're talking about, really, this new world order, the age of AUSTERITY for the masses, which means POVERTY basically, although there will be different levels of poverty as we all go down the tubes, until eventually those who think they are middle class or higher will also go down the tubes with us, but they will be the last ones to go. That really is the long-term agenda, a 50 year plan for this part of it, and in the meantime they must take us down in a post-industrial, post-productive society. We're all consumers now. Even though we might work, we are passing things around that are imported from elsewhere. That is a service economy. A service economy is likened to a dog being put into a swimming pool and it can only swim for as long as its strength holds, then it drowns. That's where we're going. I'll be back with more after this break.

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. It's interesting to see how the big maps that were drawn up *during* World War II, where the world was to be divided up into regions, have all really come to pass. The big bloc, like the Soviet bloc, has turned in to the EU bloc basically, as they change hats from RED to BLUE basically. Suddenly we're all going into these big regional blocs. It's the same with Pacific Rim Region and a whole bunch of countries coming under the domain of China, the strongest country in that area. That was set up BY the West. Modern China was definitely set up by the West to be the main manufacturer for the whole planet AND the United Nations calls it the role model for the world. The Americas still have to be totally integrated and they are working hard with Latin America. People, they know darn well that the US has had troops going in and out of various Latin American countries for almost 40 years to not really stabilize it as much, but to keep it in a certain mode so they can integrate them eventually when the time comes under the NAFTA Agreement. Main trading blocs were what was discussed during World War II.

It's interesting that Karl Marx also talked about these blocs and they would all be under the dominion of a world government. Well, that's all we are going through. I say all, it means everything but that's all we are really going through as we are deceived and lied to by the countries that signed on to it and that is your governments. Every government that signed the agreement at California for the United Nations in 1946 basically sold their sovereignty right out to the United Nations. The big foundations and big power brokers, the guys who funded Hitler and funded the Soviet Union all through its empire days, are the guys who also set up the United Nations. I mean, why think small, eh, when you want the whole darn planet? That's what they did. Everything was done by deception, whether it's people supposedly voting to join the EU and saying NO 10,000 times and then having it rammed down their throats anyway, to the fact that they said when the elected a President, he'd be a kind of referee. He'd sit there and referee between the different countries, which is all a joke as well because it's now in the papers that the President Van Rompuy, as they call him, is trying to take over and be the chief chutzpah. This article here is from the Telegraph.

## **War in the EU as Herman Van Rompuy makes 'power grab'**

Open warfare has broken out at the top of the European Union with governments accusing the new president, Herman Van Rompuy, of making a power grab.

By Bruno Waterfield in Brussels / 26 Feb 2010

National leaders are concerned Mr Van Rompuy, who had been expected to take a back seat role, is attempting to expand his position.

Germany and France backed his candidature on the understanding he would act as an EU "chairman" rather than a high-profile leader. *(Alan: Silly them.)*

But the introduction of the Lisbon Treaty has triggered bitter in-fighting between Mr Van Rompuy, Baroness Ashton, the foreign minister, and the Commission over who is in charge of representing Europe on the global stage. *(A: I said, our only chance, really, for the people of the world, is when they start to see this world power coming into play and there is only one throne for Europe and one for this and one for the United Nations, eventually. THAT'S WHEN THE BIG PSYCHOPATHS START TO FIGHT WITH EACH OTHER. They can't stand another psychopath winning, you see. They're all very competitive people. They've got to be KING and this is really what this is all about, to be honest with you.)*

Diplomats are increasingly worried that amid the turf wars there is a danger of Brussels "mission creep" as squabbling EU chiefs try to enlarge their empires at the expense of each other and national sovereignty.

National governments, led by Germany, are incensed by an attempt by Mr Van Rompuy to take on new powers he claims were agreed at an informal summit two weeks ago.

There has been particular anger over a letter he sent to EU leaders following the chaotic Feb 11 meeting in Brussels that was dominated by the economic crisis in Greece and talk of a European bail-out.

Despite the fact that the meeting only lasted three hours, with Greece the main topic of discussion, Mr Van Rompuy insisted that eight to ten specific points were agreed, a view that has been disputed by most EU leaders.

One of the points of the classified letter, seen by The Daily Telegraph, gives Mr Van Rompuy the right to lead the EU's negotiating team at G20 summits, **usurping the role of national governments and the Commission.** (A: *Isn't that something? But again, there is nothing that surprises me at all when you really understand these characters that get picked to go to the top and they ARE picked to go to the top, not by the public either. Nobody had heard of this character before he was shoved in front of everyone's face. But he was obviously the man who the bigger powers had selected to do the job and be the man. So he's got bosses like they all do. Everything is done by deception but it's no different from the political farces we go through.*)

You know, if you want to control a country, you don't control it by politicians; they come and go. You control it by the SELECTING THE BUREAUCRATS and making sure that each bureaucracy has its particular ONGOING mandate. They can work for 50, 60 years on one mandate in one division of a government and they are not responsible to the public. The public generally doesn't even know that such divisions exist on specific, individual divisions of government. For instance, here's an example. At the end of World War II, part of the Lend-Lease Agreement, that was basically bailouts for all those European countries FROM America, one of the stipulations *the US put on* that was Europe would integrate. It was far, far more than just economic free trade; it was literally integration. That was the mandate and that's been reiterated through every NATO document ever since. It was for total integration, but they always lie to the public and call it free trade, etc.

They set up bureaucracies. During the whole time from 1946 onwards - 1948 was the official date that they had in their own papers for these organizations within bureaucracies working full-time on it - all that time, right up until the 1990s THEY DENIED THAT THEY EVEN EXISTED, these departments for total integration. The only ones they presented to the public were the bureaucracies dealing with the trade aspects of it only, BUT NOT TOTAL POLITICAL INTEGRATION. So BUREAUCRATS AND BUREAUCRACIES ARE MORE IMPORTANT, REALLY, THAN THE POLITICIANS. Politicians are there to take the mud slinging and catch the tomatoes and the eggs and fill their pockets and do what they are told and go on their merry way afterwards, on the boards of directors and getting payoffs. That's what they are there for. REALLY, that's what they are really there for.

You cannot put people who are just basic lawyers or basic whatever into jobs and suddenly they are the head of the environmental department or the judiciary department or something else when they've had no experience before. That's still run by the bureaucrats and that's why every politician is appointed OVER a department, is also appointed a particular bureaucrat FROM that department that TELLS them what to do... tells them what to do. That's how it's really run... so GOVERNMENT IS A SHOW. That's also why the United Nations mandate has never faltered and never altered its course since it was set up and always gets what it wants with its 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100 year plans for different parts of it.

Here's a CNN poll.

## **CNN Poll: Majority says government a threat to citizens' rights**

*(A: No kidding. I'm glad they've noticed something.)*

Posted: February 26th, 2010 / From CNN Deputy Political Director Paul Steinhauser

Washington (CNN) - A majority of Americans think the federal government poses a threat to rights of Americans, according to a new national poll.

Fifty-six percent of people questioned in a CNN/Opinion Research Corporation survey released Friday say they think the federal government's become so large and powerful that it poses an immediate threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens. Forty-four percent of those polled disagree.

The survey indicates a partisan divide on the question: only 37 percent of Democrats, 63 percent of Independents and nearly 7 in 10 Republicans say the federal government poses a threat to the rights of Americans.

According to CNN poll numbers released Sunday, Americans **overwhelmingly** think that the U.S. government is broken - though the public overwhelmingly holds out hope that what's broken can be fixed. *(A: I've often used the analogy that it's like a Tower of Babel. It's rotten from the foundations and it's held together in its crooked way with so much bandages and scotch tape and all the rest of it that you can't fix something that's crooked from its foundation. It's faulty from the very foundation, very basic.)*

The CNN/Opinion Research Corporation poll was conducted February 12-15, with 1,023 adult Americans questioned by telephone. The survey's sampling error is plus or minus 3 percentage points for the overall survey. *(A: It says here.)*

There was an article which kind of shows you where we've gone as society too. It's from a Sunday feature from the BBC. I don't know if it was showed last weekend or what because I don't have the exact date on it here.

### **From Gameboy to Armageddon**

Last broadcast on Sun, 21 Feb 2010, 21:30 on BBC Radio 3.

War games are as old as military history but something has begun to change as war and play converge to create what some call the **'military entertainment complex'**. *(A: Good term isn't it... but it's always been that way.)* Ken Hollings pushes the button on this latest phenomenon.

Men have always played at and with soldiers. **Gaming has been an essential part of warfare** and by the 19th Century it had been developed into the sophisticated "Kriegspiel", derived from the still influential theories of Von Clausewitz and played at military colleges in both Europe and America. These war games then became real games for table-top strategists by the early 20th Century. *(A: I'll come back to this article after the following break.)*

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article, "From Gameboy to Armageddon," and it's talking about war games and how Hollywood is totally integrated with the military-industrial complex. It really has always been that way. They used Hollywood for World War II. They churned out HUNDREDS of these awful movies where every G.I. was called Joe for some reason. Literally, HI JOE, I'M HIT, OH, I'LL GET OVER THERE JOE, ALRIGHT. Everybody was Joe. Of course the bad guys could never shoot straight in these propaganda wars, just to make the guys going into it thinking they were pretty safe. But it says here...

A remarkable synergy developed between colleges of war and devisers of such games, particularly in America. And in the think tanks of the RAND Corp gaming theory was used intensively to plot the future of war and nuclear destruction.

But from the late 1970s computer strategy games started to form a powerful loop between gamers and warriors. With the creation of the SIMNET, the military began to develop hugely powerful simulators and **now convergence is taking place between military and the entertainment industry**. Some say we are living in what Stanford Professor Tim Lenoir has called 'the military entertainment complex', with military functions increasingly taking place online, using simulation for training and in the treatment of soldiers suffering from post traumatic stress disorder. But is this new realm of war truly a revolution - the shape of things to come - or just more virtual bangs for real bucks?

Well, it's more than that because *war games and simulation were used even during* World War II. I think they first used them for the gunners on heavy bombers. That was the first real sort of gaming idea where the planes would come in; it was all virtual reality, only it was done with screens and film and now they're using computers. The whole idea was to make people **DESENSITIZED TO KILLING PEOPLE**. Normal folk don't normally kill people. They had to find ways of desensitizing you so you kill *automatically* in a Pavlovian reflex basically. That's why they invented the video games in the first place, by the military complex. Then they first used them with the military, now they are into society. They have been for 20-odd years and for a good reason. It's because they wanted THAT generation to grow up and go INTO the military to finish off this part of the new world order and they've been very, very successful at it, in fact.

Here's another thing that ties in with this. I've got laugh at the media when then come out with these ridiculous articles. This is from The Check Up, Washington Post.

## **Study links violent video games to violent thought, action**

*(A: Well gee, who'd have thunk, eh? Who'd have thunk?)*

*Like they just found this out... my you-know-what.)*

WashingtonPost.com

A study in the March issue of Psychological Bulletin, a journal of the American Psychological Association, shows that **playing violent video games increases violent thinking, attitudes and behaviors among players**. And it does **nothing** to promote positive social behaviors. *(A: Well, my goodness.*

*It's just astonishing isn't it? It takes a scientist to... thank goodness they are there to tell us this stuff because we have no common sense; we can't see it for ourselves, obviously.)*

Psychologist Craig Anderson of Iowa State University and his team analyzed existing studies of 130,000 people from the U.S., Europe and Japan. His findings held for players in Western and Eastern cultures, for male and female players and for players of various ages. They also contradict some earlier studies, whose findings the current authors say are tainted by "selection bias" -- the method by which they selected studies to analyze.

The new study notes that while violence in movies and TV shows has long been examined for its potential impact on viewers' proclivity for violence, video gaming, a much newer phenomenon, has not yet been so fully explored. *(A: That's nonsense because we are guided through what they call entertainment of all kinds, as to how we act and behave. When little Johnny has been playing on these video games and he's sitting on the floor with his mouth open, totally enraptured as he's killing off whatever he's killing off, because that's what it's all about, and mommy says to him, JOHNNY COME AND HAVE YOUR DINNER and he has a temper tantrum or really has an outburst, it's because HE'S IN A BATTLE, you see. He's going from killing and fighting for his life into obeying mom. It doesn't quite mesh and this is well understood and always has been.)*

In its review of data, the new research found that exposure to violent video games was associated with aggressive behavior, aggressive cognition and aggressive "affect." It desensitizes users and is associated with lack of empathy and a lack of "prosocial" behavior.

In an accompanying commentary, Christopher Ferguson and John Kilburn of the department of behavioral applied science and criminal justice at Texas A&M International University note flaws in Anderson's analysis, including what they say is his own selection bias. *(A: Then they go on to bash another scientist as they bring out their new studies with their new scientist and it's an in-house kind of thing. Basically, they come up with the same old stuff, which is kind of... IT MIGHT BE AND IT MIGHT NOT BE BAD FOR CAUSING AGGRESSION and so on and so on. I can't be bothered reading the rest of it because these kind of articles bore me to tears. Common sense should prevail and it's something we've been taught not to have anymore... or ignore, I should say.)*

Six months ago, perhaps or a bit longer, Prince Charles came out with a speech. Now, Prince Charles and his daddy, [Alan speaking with an elitist accent] his fAAtHer, MY fAAtHER AND I, and his mum, MY mAAtHER AND I... he came out and... They tried to find a job for him to do years ago; they said he was a Prince without a cause or a purpose because mummy did all the work. They put him in charge of the environment and architecture for cities, that kind of stuff. Here is his latest thing and WE'VE ALL TO BECOME SLUM DWELLERS, *for the good of the planet.* I'll be back with more after this break.

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about Prince Charles and how we should really listen to him when they put him up there on the pedestal and he opens his mouth and says something, because it's really in line

with the big agenda. He was the first one, publicly, to be put in front of the media at least, to talk about the public/private partnership deals that were to come along and that's all we have now is public/private partnerships.

In this two-part video that someone sent me, it's a documentary from, I think, the BBC. He talked about how we can learn a lot from slum dwellers... for conservation and all that kind of stuff. This was followed by the investigative journalist who went across to India to this place that Charles had mentioned at Mumbai, which is a big up-and-coming city. It's got the largest slum city in the middle of it. It's a shack town, a shanty town in the middle with, I think, 1 MILLION PEOPLE PER SQUARE MILE... crammed together like you wouldn't believe. He goes over there, this reporter, and he sees the children defecating in the streets and all this kind of stuff. They're living amongst open sewage, running through the streets and stuff like that. This is what Prince Charles advocates because, you see, there is very little crime there and the folk get on so well, apparently, and their consumption level is awfully low and they don't give off much greenhouse gases because I guess they're so darn poor. Anyway, it's worth seeing. I'll try and track up a link to that if I can find it somewhere up on one of the servers out there to show you. It's a fantastic two-part documentary on Mumbai.

Here's an article from the greenies, you see. As I say, you've got to listen to Prince Charles when he makes a statement; it means this IS going to be part of the agenda. That's what it means when he says this. This is from ProspectMagazine.co.uk, a greenie magazine on the same topic after Charles had his say, you see; *press the button and they all fall into action.*

## How slums can save the planet

Prospect Magazine / Stewart Brand / 27th January 2010 — Issue 167

Sixty million people in the developing world are leaving the countryside every year. *(A: What he doesn't mention here is that's **Agenda 21**. We're all to get off the countryside and crammed into the big cites as we die off and we are more and more sterile every year, up until the year 2050.)*

The squatter cities that have emerged can teach us much about future urban living.

*(A: So, squatter cities are to teach us, right.)*

Dharavi, Mumbai, where population density reaches 1m people per square mile.

In 1983, architect Peter Calthorpe gave up on San Francisco, where he had tried and failed to organise neighbourhood communities *(A: Now, communities is commutarianism. That's what George Bush Sr first mentioned. That's to be the new way, commutarianism, a sort of blend of communist China and the Western values merged together, but more towards the communist China side.)*, and moved to a houseboat in Sausalito, a town on the San Francisco Bay. He ended up on South 40 Dock, where I also live, part of a community of 400 houseboats and a place with the densest housing in California. Without trying, it was an intense, proud community, in which no one locked their doors. Calthorpe looked for the element of design magic that made it work, and concluded it was the dock itself and the density. Everyone who lived in the houseboats on South 40 Dock passed each other on foot



daily, trundling to and from the parking lot on shore. All the residents knew each other's faces and voices and cats. (A: *I guess they had no dogs there, which tells me something. Anyway...*) It was a community, Calthorpe decided, because it was walkable.

Building on that insight, Calthorpe became one of the founders of the **new urbanism**, along with Andrés Duany, Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk and others. (A: *They will all have funding from the big foundations, you'll find, if you do a search, I'm sure.*) In 1985 he introduced the concept of walkability in "Redefining Cities," an article in the Whole Earth Review, an American counterculture magazine that focused on technology, community building and the environment. Since then, new urbanism has become the dominant force in city planning, promoting high density, mixed use, walkability, mass transit, eclectic design and regionalism. (A: *It's the complete United Nations agenda here.*) It drew one of its main ideas from the houseboat community.

There are plenty more ideas to be discovered in the squatter cities of the developing world, the conurbations made up of people who do not legally occupy the land they live on (A: *In **Agenda 21** you'll have no private property. The state literally will basically own everything or they might have public/private with sort of chain-store type rental places and skyscrapers owned by a handful of people.*)—more commonly known as slums. One billion people live in these cities and, according to the UN, this number will double in the next 25 years. There are thousands of them and their mainly young populations test out new ideas unfettered by law or tradition. (A: *They don't pay taxes either, by the way.*) Alleyways in squatter cities, for example, are a dense interplay of retail and services (A: *If I find that link for the one on India - and I'll put it up on my web site at the end of the show if I can find it - you'll see what they're talking about, how they all get along, etc. I'm not kidding; there are just as many rats as people. You'll see them all over the place... and open sewage that they step over all the time. Their water pipes go through this strange one-million complex place; the pipes literally are in the sewage troughs. So when there is a leak in the pipes the sewage gets into your drinking water. And by the way, they only turn the water on for 2 hours per day, for everyone.*)—one-chair barbershops and three-seat bars interspersed with the clothes racks and fruit tables. One proposal is to use these as a model for shopping areas. "Allow the informal sector to take over downtown areas after 6pm," suggests Jaime Lerner, the former mayor of Curitiba, Brazil. "That will inject life into the city." (A: *I'd say it would infect it into the city, but that's my opinion.*)

The reversal of opinion about fast-growing cities, previously considered bad news, began with The Challenge of Slums, a **2003 UN-Habitat report**. The book's optimism (A: *...ohh.*) derived from its groundbreaking fieldwork: 37 case studies in slums worldwide. Instead of just compiling numbers and filtering them through theory, researchers hung out in the slums and talked to people. They came back with an unexpected observation: "Cities are so much more successful in promoting new forms of income generation, and it is so much cheaper to provide services in urban areas, that some experts have actually suggested that **the only realistic poverty reduction strategy is to get as many people as possible to move to the city.**" (A: *It's amazing how they come out with the United Nations agenda isn't it?*)

The magic of squatter cities (A: ...if you can stand the smell of sewage everywhere... and watch where you put your feet...) is that they are improved steadily and gradually by their residents. To a planner's eye, these cities look chaotic. (A: [laughing] you've got to see this two-part video, if you think it looks chaotic... The rats are looking for a clean bit to sit on, you know, everything else is feces.) I trained as a biologist and to my eye, they look organic. (A: Well, it's certainly organic matter.) Squatter cities are also unexpectedly green. They have maximum density—**1m people per square mile** in some areas of Mumbai—and have **minimum energy and material use**. People get around by foot, bicycle, rickshaw, or the universal shared taxi. (A: By the way, Mumbai also has its own little mafia because even there is a class structure, in the shanty town. There is even a millionaire living in the middle of it who has all these sweat shops throughout it. The little boys that you'll see in the video all work for him, and sleep in the shops as well because they have nowhere else to go. Probably that will come out of their wages as well. But it's fantastic to watch the exploitation and how these characters, these prostitutes here, these sellouts, under the guise of environmentalism that would never, ever sleep a night in a place like that, are wanting to push this stuff on all of US. No kidding, eh? It's just a joke, such a joke. What a farce.)

That's what they give us. How wonderful it is in the slum where you're living with flattened tin cans all stuck together for a wall and stuff like that, and you've got 20 folk in a room living. Just a wonderful future they're bringing in for us but, I tell you, it's low consumption, it's low carbon output, you know. Dear, dear, the rubbish they peddle. Mind you, they say that perception becomes reality and the more propaganda they give us, they could make us believe anything I suppose. It reminds me of the old days too, in the Middle Ages where the Kings would have their massive castles on top of the hill and the peasants all lived down below in mud shacks with thatched roofs and straw on the floor to cover the mud. I guess that was good sustainability, according to the elite that run the world today. Mind you, it's a new feudal system, as Carroll Quigley said, so it's really the same OLD feudal system isn't it?

Tuesday from the Telegraph. I've mentioned so many articles about what they do to your food and what they make popular and whenever something has been made popular, AVOID IT LIKE THE PLAGUE. Whether it's bottled water that was made the massive fad, then you found out that the bisphenol-A was in it and a whole bunch of other things that basically sterilized you, which was there on purpose. They knew it all along. Here is another one, fruit juices, right.

## **Carcinogen antimony found in fruit juices**

A chemical linked to cancer has been found in fruit juices and cordials drunk by millions of people every day across Britain (A: And America too.), scientists have revealed.

By Daily Telegraph Reporter / 1 Mar 2010

Scientists have found levels of antimony, which can be lethal in large doses, in commercial juices and cordials that exceed the EU limit for drinking water and raise concern about leaching from packaging.

The University of Copenhagen found that bottles of fruit juice and squash contained up to 2.5 times more of the substance as is deemed 'safe' in tap water, under EU guidelines.

In some cases the levels of antimony were **ten times higher**.

Researchers studied antimony levels in various juices, mainly red fruit juices, packaged in PET bottles, glass bottles and Tetra Pak cartons.

Studying antimony levels is of interest because of concern about the impact of increased exposure on human health and the environment. *(A: I guess the environment is first and then human health is secondary.)*

Of particular concern is antimony trioxide, a suspected carcinogen that is used in the production of PET. *(A: Then they looked at all these different drinks from 16 different brands and they found that pretty well all had these high concentrations of this carcinogen in it.)*

Remember too, I think it was Dr Day that attended one of these big conferences - I don't know if it was related to the United Nations - back in the 60s or 70s, and it was discussed about ways to kill the people, and it was said that WELL, IF YOU'RE GOING TO DIE OF ANYTHING AT ALL WHY SHOULDN'T IT BE CANCER? And that was the idea, to step up the cancers. It was about the same time, the 50s actually, where they came out with all the polio vaccines that contained the simian virus 40 that's *only function* is to cause cancers.

People will never, ever take that leap. They can climb the ladder, you know, but they can't take the last few steps. They have to cross the major steps to realize that they don't just talk about depopulation, they DO something about it. How, if you were in charge of the world, would you do something about it? Would you ask for volunteers? Well, of course not. They simply went ahead and did it folks... and that's why everyone is dropping down, all age groups, with cancers. That's why too, Monsanto was given the complete go-ahead, not just go-ahead, they CREATED Monsanto to PUSH all of these pesticides, these incredibly toxic pesticides which are soaked up by the plant and it's through all of its cellular structure AND they are ALL carcinogenic. It's a sad thing to say. I'm not being a fear monger; I'm just telling you the facts. THAT'S what's happening.

They KNOW what's causing it, just like they know what's causing sterility in the Western world. THEY KNOW THESE THINGS. Do you understand, when cancers started to skyrocket, just like autism and all the rest of these things with the inoculations and the food, it's NEVER BEEN DECLARED A CRISIS. When something takes off on a graph like a rocket going into outer space, it surely should be a crisis and these ALL go off like rockets. But there's no, OH NO. It's now the NEW NORMAL. It's the new normal, you understand.

Depopulation by, it wasn't just by preventing birth, it was also do with dying, increasing the death rate. It was all part of the United Nations studies back in the 50s and even at the very beginning of its set-up in fact, they had a Department of Population Control. I've read so many articles over the years from the big boys books themselves who worked for the United Nations like Julian Huxley when he talked about, for example, they've got to bring humanity off their pedestal and bring down this idea that we are somehow more special than any other species. In

other words, dehumanize us, DEHUMANIZE LIFE ITSELF AND TRIVIALIZE LIFE ITSELF, in fact. He also talked about stepping up the death rates and stepping up the abortion rates and so on. Not because there was going to be too many people, you understand, it's too many of the WRONG people.

If you believe in evolution, you will believe that academia and all those who are running this world right now, through all their think tanks and all the prostitutes that work for the big foundations and live off your tax money, *they actually believe they are more evolved than the rest*. The old man and woman who used to work in the factories are the old type; there's no use for them anymore. They are a step behind in evolution. Same ideas as the Marxist theory that when they came into power, to kill off those who were not up to working in a capitalist system. First you have to have a capitalist system and then you bring in Marxism during industry. If the people don't even need money, they are self-sufficient, you must kill off those populations and that's what they did with the Ukraine. That's WHY they claim they did it. It would take too long to bring them up through the various phases, or STAGES in this supposed pseudo-science called Marxism, so they had to be killed off. The same thing is going on today. Those who are left behind in this new system, the ones who would normally work in factories and do a laboring or semi-skilled jobs, there is no use for them now. So they kill them off.

Here's another article.

### **Study explores child end-of-life scenarios**

*(A: I read the other day too, about new laws in Britain and the US and elsewhere to do with euthanasia and here they go, for CHILDREN now.)*

Some parents had considered hastening deaths

By Elizabeth Cooney, Globe Correspondent | March 2, 2010

*(A: Then they give you... it's almost as though they were doing a TV documentary on it and there's the crying mom there, you see, **TO GET THE EMOTION FIXED SO THAT THE POINT CAN GET FIXED IN YOUR MIND THAT THIS IS WHAT GOES WITH THIS EMOTION.**)*

When Christine Reilly's little boy was being treated for cancer, she told his doctor she could handle almost anything.

"The only thing I will not be able to tolerate is him looking at me and saying, 'Mommy, it hurts,' " she recalled yesterday.

Michael died when he was 5 years old of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma, which was diagnosed when he was 9 months. His pain was well controlled, especially at the end of his life. But Reilly, who lives in Whitman, said she can understand why a parent would contemplate ending the life of a dying child sooner if that would ease the child's unrelieved suffering. *(A: I'll be back with more on how to do it after this break.)*

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. I'll put these links up on my web site at the end of the night, if XplorNet graces me with more than my usual twice the dial-up speed for supposedly high-speed satellite internet.

I should take the phone call. There is a phone call from Ireland and it is Brian. Are

you there Brian?

**Brian:** I am, Alan. How are you?

**Alan:** Not so bad.

**Brian:** That's good. I know that you've talked a lot about the scourge of pedophilia in our society. I don't know if... you are probably aware of this terrible case in Scotland, your home country.

**Alan:** It's all through Britain now. I read the articles about the Dunblane shooting and how he, Hamilton himself who did the shooting, was a homosexual pedophile, well known to the police and how a LORD, a British Lord at the House of Lords in England, had known of Hamilton personally, because it's a pedophile ring, and he also got the license for the handgun that Hamilton used in the shootings. It's a fascinating journey into this strange culture, sub-culture that's kept out of the mainstream because I think it's *one important person after another and lots of the aristocracy* that are involved in this. People have a hard time believing that there are such organized, national and international pedophilia rings but it is true. They actually exist.

**Brian:** It's just opened a whole can of worms because it's all the way up to the top. We are talking top politicians.

**Alan:** I noticed too that Tony Blair and Mandelson - Mandelson is well known for his proclivities - covered up an awful lot of this stuff and covered up the Lords, etc that were involved in these cases. What's also interesting in Scotland is how they've got everything sewn up with the woman who is in charge of the whole police commission up there, who has also worked with the law office that deals with a lot of these characters. It seems everyone comes from one law office and gets into these jobs, they all know each other and they all cover for each other, as well, we can see there.

**Brian:** Another disturbing factor is Ali's uncle was found dead in very mysterious circumstances in a burnt-out car. This is back in 1997. I think he found out a few things.

**Alan:** Didn't they also lock up the guy who was leading this investigation on behalf of the people; didn't they also arrest him recently as well?

**Brian:** Oh yeah. There was a journalist, Robert Green, and if your listeners go on to YouTube and type in 'Robert Green and the Hollie Greig case', you'll get these. He gives a talk that explains in detail what he uncovered. I think a week or two later he was arrested in Aberdeen for handing out leaflets and flyers.

**Alan:** Can you believe that, getting arrested for that?

**Brian:** Yeah. For 'breach of the peace'.

**Alan:** 'Breach of the peace' for handing out flyers, exposing some big wigs.

**Brian:** BBC Scotland and a lot of the media have been silenced.

**Alan:** Well, the BBC I'm not surprised at that when you see who works there. This stuff is truly rife amongst the upper classes especially. It's just like the Vatican; Malachi Martin talked about it. In the Vatican, since the 60s, a clique, a very powerful clique that stood up and covered each other when they were caught. It's the same with pedophilia and child pornography and these characters are in to it, very powerful people and into very shady, evil stuff, disgusting stuff, and are covering for each other too, in that whole system over in Scotland, and England, right up to the House of Lords, so you are quite right. Thanks for calling.

That's the end of the show, that's the music coming in. From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

## Topics of show covered in following links:

[War in EU as President Makes Power Grab](#)

[CNN Poll-46% Citizens Say Government Threat to their Rights](#)

[Gameboy to Armageddon-Hollywood and Military Merging](#)

[Who'd Have Thought? Gee--Violent Video Games Linked to Violence](#)

[Agenda 21-How slums Can Save the Planet](#)

[Prince Charles advocates Mumbai slums for sustainability - Part 1](#)

[& Part 2](#)

[Packaged Fruit Juices Contain Carcinogen](#)

[Beginning Debate on New Normal End of Life Routines for terminally ILL Children-for "New Health Care System"](#)

Transcribed by Diana

---

## Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

**BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:  
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions  
and History MP3  
CDs:

**CDs**

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'

Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)  
Part 2 (1998-2000)

**DVDs**

"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,  
Esoterica and ...TIME"